

EXTRAORDINARY PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 753 CUTTACK, MONDAY, JUNE 8, 2009/JAISTHA 18, 1931

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

The 22nd May 2009

No. 4552–li/1(B)-29/2001-L E.–In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Award, dated the 18th April 2009 in Industrial Dispute Case No. 88/2002 of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar to whom the industrial disputes between the Management of M/s Searle (India) Ltd., now renamed as M/s H. P. G. Life Science Ltd., Regd. Post Office & Head Office, 21-D Sukhadevela Marg, P. O. Box 233, Mumbai-400 001 and Shri Silajit Maity was referred to for adjudication is hereby published as in the Schedule below:

SCHEDULE

IN THE LABOUR COURT, BHUBANESWAR
INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE CASE No. 88 of 2002
Dated the 18th April 2009

Present:

Shri M. R. Tripathy, o.s.J.s. (Jr. Branch), Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bhubaneswar.

Between:

M/s H. P. G. Life Science Ltd., . . . First Party—Management Regd. Post Office & Head Office, 21-D Sukhadevala Marg, P. O. Box 233, Mambai-400 001.

And

Shri Silajit Maity, ... Second Party—Workman C/o Local Secretary, Orissa Sales Representative Union, Meria Bazar, Cuttack.

Appearances:

For the both the parties ...

AWARD

None

The Government of Orissa in the Labour & Employment Department in exercise of powers conferred upon them by sub-section (5) of Section 12 read with clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) have referred the following dispute for adjudication vide their Order No. 9146—Ii/1(B)-29/2001-LE., dated the 2nd August 2002.

"Whether the termination of service of Shri Silajit Maity by the management of M/s Searle (India) Ltd. now renamed as M/s H. P. G. Life Science Ltd., with effect from the 1st September 1999 is legal and/or justified? If not, what relief Shri Maity is entitled to?"

2. In this case, neither the second party nor the first party have filed their respective claim statement and written statement. Both the parties have also not taken any steps in the matter. From the conduct of the parties it can reasonably be inferred that they are no more interested to contest the proceeding perhaps for the reason that the dispute has been settled between them amicably out of the Court. In the circumstance, a No Dispute Award is passed in so far as the present reference is concerned.

Dictated and corrected by me.

M. R. TRIPATHY

18-4-2009

Presiding Officer

Labour Court, Bhubaneswar

M. R. TRIPATHY

18-4-2009

Presiding Officer

Labour Court, Bhubaneswar

By order of the Governor

K. C. BASKE

Under-Secretary to Government